

Erratum to: Storey, V. A., Greene, T., & Salgado, L. (2026). Human and AI Editing Tool Use Among Online Professional Practice/Applied Practice Doctoral Students: Implications for Academic Integrity Policies. *Impacting Education: Journal on Transforming Professional Practice*, 11(3), 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.5195/ie.2026.593>

Suha Tamim
University of South Carolina
tamims@mailbox.sc.edu

Rhonda Jeffries
University of South Carolina
rjeffries@sc.edu

ABSTRACT

In Storey, V. A., Greene, T., & Salgado, L. (2026). Human and AI Editing Tool Use Among Online Professional Practice/Applied Practice Doctoral Students: Implications for Academic Integrity Policies. *Impacting Education: Journal on Transforming Professional Practice*, 11(3), 40–50, <https://doi.org/10.5195/ie.2026.593>, two cited articles named the author, Dr. Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva, incorrectly in the text and in the reference list as da Silva.

In the body of the manuscript:

- Under AI and the Authorship Question, p. 42, first sentence, the correct citation is:
Academic literature exists that debates AI as having authorship (Teixeira da Silva, 2023; Teixeira da Silva & Tsigaris, 2023; Davis & Taczak, 2024; Hosseini et al., 2023), and the idea of naming LLMs as authors is also debated (Hosseini et al., 2023; Hwang et al., 2025)
- Under AI and the Authorship Question, p. 42, second sentence, the citation is:
Navigating authorship when human writers collaborate with LLMs for personalized content poses challenges to who the author is: the human who entered their criteria into the AI tool, or the AI tool itself (Draxler et al., 2024; Teixeira da Silva & Tsigaris, 2023).

In the reference list, the correct citations are:

- Teixeira da Silva, J. A. (2023). Authorship in academic literature. *Clinical. Pharmacology in Drug Development*, 12(4), 457–458. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpdd.1234>
- Teixeira da Silva, J. A., & Tsigaris, P. (2023). Human- and AI-based authorship: Principles and ethics. *Learned Publishing*, 36(3), 453–462. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1547>



New articles in this journal are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 United States License.



This journal is published by Pitt Open Library Publishing.



impactinged.pitt.edu
Vol.11 No.3 (2026)

This journal is supported by the Carnegie Project on the Education Doctorate: A Knowledge Forum on the EdD (CPED) cpedinitiative.org

ISSN 2472-5889 (online)
DOI 10.5195/ie. 2026.593

The updated reference list will be as follows:

- Abbasi, M., Davis, M. M., Heredia, R. M., & Camacho, D. A. O. (2024). Artificial intelligence: A look back to the future in university education. *Proceedings of International Structural Engineering and Construction*, 11(1), 1–6. [www.doi.org/10.14455/ISEC.2024.11\(1\).EPE-11](https://doi.org/10.14455/ISEC.2024.11(1).EPE-11)
- Aljuaid, H. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence tools on academic writing instruction in Higher Education: A systematic review. *Arab World English Journal, Special Issue on ChatGPT*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.24093/awej/ChatGPT.2>
- Anitha, P., & Sundaram, S. (2021). Prevalence, types and reasons for academic dishonesty among college students. *Journal of Studies in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 7(1), 1–14. <https://www.jssshonline.com/>
- Barrett, A., Pack, A. (2023). Not quite eye to A.I.: Student and teacher perspectives on the use of generative artificial intelligence in the writing process. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 20, 59. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00427-0>
- Bharadwaj, P., Shaw, C., Henrie, A., Martin, S., Janson, N., & Bryant, G. (2024, June 11). *Time for class - 2024*. Tyton Partners. <https://tytonpartners.com/time-for-class-2024/>
- Bingham, A. J. (2023). From data management to actionable findings: A five-phase process of qualitative data analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231183620>
- Boyd, P., & Harding, D. (2025). Generative AI: reconfiguring supervision and doctoral research. *Buildings & Cities*, 6(1), 294–294–309. <https://doi.org/10.5334/bc.560>
- Carnegie Project on the Education Doctorate. (n.d.). *CPED framework*.
- Ciampa, K., & Wolfe, C. (2019). Preparing for dissertation writing: Doctoral education students' perceptions. *Studies in Graduate and Postdoctoral Education*, 10(2), 86–08. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/sgpe-03-2019-0039/full/html>
- Cole, R. (2024). Inter-rater reliability methods in qualitative case study research. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 53(4), 1944–1975. [doi:10.1177/00491241231156971](https://doi.org/10.1177/00491241231156971)
- Davis, M., & Taczak, K. (2024). Editorial introduction: What is the future of writing? *Composition Studies*, 52(1), 7–17. <https://compstudiesjournal.com/subscriptions/>
- Ding, L., & Zou, D. (2024). Automated writing evaluation systems: A systematic review of Dovetail. (n.d.) *Qualitative data analysis*. Dovetail Research Pty, Ltd. <https://dovetail.com/analysis/>
- Draxler, F., Werner, A., Lehmann, F., Hoppe, M., Schmidt, A., Buschek, D., & Welsch, R. (2024). The AI ghostwriter effect: When users do not perceive ownership of AI-generated text but self-declare as authors. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*, 31(2), 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3637875>
- Epe, D. J., Cañamo, J. T., Palioto, K. M., & Orong, M. Y. (2024). Evaluating the Grammarly AI tool for improving academic writing: soliciting students' perceptions of usefulness and ease of use. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development*, 7(6), 955–962. <https://www.ijrsred.com/volume7/issue6/IJSRED-V7I6P100.pdf>
- Fitria, T. N. (2021). "Grammarly" as AI-powered English writing assistant: Students' alternative for English writing. *Metathesis Journal of English Language Literature and Teaching*, 5(1), 65–78. <https://doi.org/10.31002/metathesis.v5i1.3519>
- Graham, S., Kihara, S. A., & MacKay, M. (2020). The effects of writing on learning in science, social studies, and mathematics: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 90(2), 179–226. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654320914744>
- Grammarly for Education (n.d.). *Educator's guide to Grammarly Authorship*. Retrieved December 3, 2024. <https://go.grammarly.com/g4e-grammarly-authorship-guide>
- Grammarly, Pigai, and Criterion with a perspective on future directions in the age of generative artificial intelligence. *Education & Information Technologies*, 29(11), 14151–14203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12402-3>
- Grammarly. (2024, October 15). Grammarly Authorship gives faculty new insights into the student AI-writing process. *Grammarly Blog*. <https://www.grammarly.com/blog/institutions/grammarly-authorship-gives-faculty-new-insights-into-the-student-ai-writing-process/>
- Grammarly. (2025, September 16). Grammarly Authorship is now available in Canvas LMS. *Grammarly Blog*. <https://www.grammarly.com/blog/writing/grammarly-authorship-is-now-available-in-canvas-lms/?msocid=3d3a315dc56a6eba051e22c7c4146f5f>
- Grammarly. (n.d.). *Grammarly authorship: Frequently asked questions*. Retrieved December 3, 2024. <https://www.grammarly.com/authorship>
- Harfe, B. (Sept 2025). *Rethinking student assessment in the age of Generative AI*. i4 EdTech Showcase presentation. Franklin University, Columbus, Ohio, United States.
- Hennink, M., & Kaiser, B. N. (2022). Sample sizes for saturation in qualitative research: A systematic review of empirical tests. *Social Science & Medicine*, 292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114523>
- Hosseini, M., Resnik, D. B., & Holmes, K. (2023). The ethics of disclosing the use of artificial intelligence tools in writing scholarly manuscripts. *Research Ethics*, 19(4), 449–465. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17470161231180449>. https://cped.memberclicks.net/assets/resource-center/docs/cped_framework.pdf
- Hwang, A. H.-C., Liao, Q. V., Blodgett, S. L., Olteanu, A., & Trischler, A. (2025). 'It was 80% me, 20% AI': Seeking authenticity in co-writing with large language models. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 9(2), Article CSCW122. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3711020>
- Jenkins, R. & Lin, P. (2023). *AI-assisted authorship: How to assign credit in synthetic scholarship* [working paper]. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4342909>
- Jiang, M., Arrambide, M., & Munoz, A. (2021). Effective practices in facilitating nontraditional adult learners' dissertation writing in an online doctoral program. *Journal of Educators Online*, 18(3), 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.9743/jeo.2021.18.3.6>
- Kong, S. C., Man-Yin Cheung, W., & Zhang, G. (2021). Evaluation of an artificial intelligence literacy course for university students with diverse study backgrounds. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100026>
- Kriener, J. L., Walsh, J. A., Cannon, K. D., Honan, S. (2024). Professors call it cheating, students call it teamwork: Evolving norms of academic integrity in the transformative era of online education. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 24(2), 46–65. [doi:10.14434/josotl.v24i2.35191](https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v24i2.35191)
- Lampropoulos, G., Ferdig, R. E., & Kaplan-Rakowski, R. (2023). A social media data analysis of general and educational use of ChatGPT: Understanding emotional educators. *Educational Technology & Society*, 28(3), 51–65. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371295222>
- Lentz, P. (2024). Ethical authorship and moral motivation: The key to ethical AI use. *Business and Professional Communication Quarterly*, 87(4), 592–609. [doi:10.1177/23294906241259153](https://doi.org/10.1177/23294906241259153)
- Leung, T. I., de Azevedo Cardoso, T., Mavragani, A., & Eysenbach, G. (2023). Best practices for using ai tools as an author, peer reviewer, or editor. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/links.franklin.edu/10.2196/51584>
- Macfarlane, B., Zhangb, J., & Puna, A. (2014). Academic integrity: A review of the literature. *Studies in Higher Education*, 39(2), 339–358. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2012.709495>
- Maphosa, V., & Maphosa, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: a Bibliometric analysis and topic modeling approach. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 37(1), 1–23. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/08839514.2023.2261730>
- Mayring, P. (2019). Qualitative content analysis: Demarcation, varieties, developments. *Forum, Qualitative Social Research*, 20(3), Article 16. <https://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/download/3343/4557?inline=1>
- McMurtrie, B. (2024, October 3). *How colleges are reimagining learning in an AI world*. Chronicle of Higher Education. <https://www.chronicle.com/article/the-future-is-hybrid>
- Nguyen, A., Hong, Y., Dang, B., & Huang, X. (2024). Human-AI collaboration patterns in AI-assisted academic writing. *Studies in Higher Education*, 49(5), 847–864. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2024.2323593>
- Nordling, L. (2023, October 19). How ChatGPT is transforming the postdoc experience. *Nature*, 622, 655–657. https://www.research.pitt.edu/sites/default/files/how_chatgpt_is_transforming_the_postdoc_experience.pdf
- Nyaaba, M. (2023). *Artificial general intelligence (AGI) for education*. Cornell University. O'Leary, D. E. (2023). Using large language models to write



- theses and dissertations. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance & Management*, 30(4), 228–234. <https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1547>
- Pajo, B. (2018). *Introduction to research methods: A hands-on approach*. SAGE.
- Peh, W. C. G., & Saw, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence: Impact and challenges to authors. *Malaysian Orthopaedic Journal*, 17(3), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.5704/MOJ.2311.001>
- Rafi, M. S., & Moghees, A. (2023). Writing challenges, causes, and strategies to facilitate the doctoral dissertation writing process: A qualitative analysis. *International Social Science Journal*, 73, 139–156. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/issj.12367>
- Rugg, G., & Petre, M. (2004). *The unwritten rules of PhD research*. Open University Press.
- Salman, H., Imran, A., Niazi, S., Rahat, A., Arif, A., Buriro, S. A., & Butt, S. M. (2024). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Strategies for fostering creativity in writing instruction. *Migration Letters*, 21(S8), 1089–1097. <https://migrationletters.com/index.php/ml/article/view/9540>
- Sharma, S. K., Mudgal, S.K., Gaur, R., Chaturvedi, J., Rulaniya, S., & Sharma, P. (2024). Navigating sample size estimation for qualitative research. *Journal of Medical Evidence*, 5, 133–139. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Shiv-Mudgal/publication/381753976_Navigating_Sample_Size_Estimation_for_Qualitative_Research/links/66f829d3869f1104c6bc405e/Navigating-Sample-Size-Estimation-for-Qualitative-Research.pdf
- Shih, P. K., Lin, C. H., Wu, L. Y., & Yu, C. C. (2021). Learning ethics in AI-teaching non-engineering undergraduates through situated learning. *Sustainability*, 13(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13073718>
- Sullivan, M., Kelly, A., & McLaughlan, P. (2023). ChatGPT in higher education: Considerations for academic integrity and student learning. *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching*, 6 (1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.17>
- Teixeira da Silva, J. A. (2023). Authorship in academic literature. *Clinical Pharmacology in Drug Development*, 12(4), 457–458. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpdd.1234>
- Teixeira da Silva, J. A., & Tsigaris, P. (2023). Human- and AI-based authorship: Principles and ethics. *Learned Publishing*, 36(3), 453–462. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1547>
- Turnitin (n.d.). *Turnitin's AI writing detection capabilities*. Retrieved December 3, 2024. <https://www.turnitin.com/solutions/topics/ai-writing/ai-detector/>
- Uzun, L. (2023). Are concerns related to artificial intelligence development and use really necessary: A philosophical discussion. *DISO 2*, 40 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44206-023-00070-2>
- Walczak, K., & Cellary, W. (2023). Challenges for higher education in the era of widespread access to Generative AI. *Economics and Business Review* 9(2), 71–100. <https://doi.org/10.18559/ebv.2023.2.743>
- Werse, N. R. (2021). Practical and transferable: The quest to design online writing instruction for mentoring professional doctoral students. In L. Gray-Rosendale, & S. Rosendale (Eds.), *Go online! Reconfiguring writing courses for the new, virtual world* (pp. 141-153). Peter Lang.
- Werse, N. R., Lively, C., & Crocker Papadakis, L. (2022). High impact writing support for online students writing a dissertation in practice. In Information Resources Management Association (Ed.), *Research anthology on doctoral student professional development* (pp. 499–514). IGI Global. <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/high-impact-writing-support-for-online-students-writing-a-dissertation-in-practice/300730>
- Yang, M. (2023, January 6). New York City schools ban AI chatbot that writes essays and answers prompts. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2023/jan/06/new-york-city-schools-ban-ai-chatbot-chatgpt>
- Yeo, M. A. (2023). Academic integrity in the age of Artificial Intelligence (AI) authoring apps. *TESOL Journal*, 14(3), e716. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.716>
- Zhai, X. (2022). *ChatGPT user experience: Implications for education* [working paper]. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm?abstractid=4312418>
- Zipf, S., Wu, C., & Petricini, T. (2025). Using the information inequity framework to study GenAI equity: analysis of educational perspectives. *Information Research*, 30(iConf), 533. <https://doi.org/10.47989/ir30iConf47284>